

The following is an email/voicemail and text message exchange between me and Chris Finn, leading up to Lynne's and my "Shunning."

Chris was the Chief Fundraiser for the Seattle Ideal Org. He is not staff, and not an Org HCO terminal (though he was GO, back in the day...) Vicki is Lynne's daughter. Danar & Ximena are Lynne's son and his wife, who had been reporting on us to Chris and the DSA, Ann Pearce.

From: Chris Finn
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 9:20 AM
To: 'Bert Schippers'
Subject: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Bert,

I wanted you to know I have spoken with Danar. And I wanted to ask if you would have lunch with me today....me alone. You and me or you and Lynne and me?

You two know me and I would never be anything less than straight and in ARC with you two I am bringing no papers, issues, just me - to chat and be in comm with.

You are friends.

What do you say? What time? Is there a place you like to go for lunch – could meet you there.

Your friend,

Chris Finn

From: Bert Schippers

Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 10:20 AM
To: 'Chris Finn'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Chris,
Sure, it will just be me. I'll suggest the Hi-Life in Ballard, I can walk there from work. What time do you want to meet?

Bert

From: Chris Finn
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 10:26 AM
To: 'Bert Schippers'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

How about 12:30?

Is Hi-Life within a block or two of the entrance to your office?

Chris Finn

From: Bert Schippers
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 10:25 AM
To: 'Chris Finn'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

12:30 works. It's about 3-4 blocks: <http://www.chowfoods.com/hilife/>

See you then.

From: Chris Finn
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2010 10:39 AM
To: 'Bert Schippers'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Perfect.

Chris Finn

Note: The apparent purpose of this lunch meeting was to handle Lynne & me on disconnecting from "SPs". During this lunch Chris pulled out Tony and Marie-Joe DePhillips "SP Declare", which I read in full. I did not admit or deny being connected to them, as that is nobody's business but mine. If you notice in his first email he stated that he will bring no papers or issues, just himself. He lied.

The next email thread starts on June 8:

From: Chris Finn
Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 12:15 PM
To: 'Bert Schippers'
Subject: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Bert, I will be back in Seattle a week from today...FYI

I was wondering if you had looked over the PLs on Offenses and SP Acts and talked over with Lynne if you two would be willing to comply with what Ron says in those issues. Fundamentally.....basically, this is what is being requested and if I can deliver some comm from you two that you will do this, then that well to comm that keeps things from going beyond what I can influence in your behalf. I really need your help on this and your comm on whatever your decision is on following or not following these PLs.

I am sorry that this may be tough....I sure wish it were otherwise. But at this point no comm is about the same no decision to follow.

Please bring me up to date on where things are at....if there is any hope for agreement to follow this issues. I will do all I can for you.

Maybe we can get together one more time next week....you, me and maybe Lynne too. If that would help do let me know.

In the meantime.....please fill me in as fully as you can.

Thanks.

Your friend,

Chris Finn

From: Bert Schippers

Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 12:10 PM

To: 'Chris Finn'

Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Chris,

I have not had time to look those over again.

Can you be more specific on what you mean by the following?:

“, then that well to comm that keeps things from going beyond what I can influence in your behalf”

And

“But at this point no comm is about the same no decision to follow”

I'm not understanding what you mean.

Thanks, Bert

From: Chris Finn

Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 12:21 PM

To: 'Bert Schippers'

Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Bert.....The entire and total flow is we want things right for you guys and back with everything fixed and VGIs for all....but it all

depends on your willingness to help one and all follow Rons issues.....so when there is no comm about this....it is hard to say that things are moving away from the dark side of the force as Yoda might put it.

I will be back in Seattle Tuesday....maybe we can again do lunch Wednesday ??? Would Wednesday work? Just like before.

Chris Finn

From: Bert Schippers
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 5:20 PM
To: 'Chris Finn'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Chris,

We had lunch, and I was open and honest with my comm. You found some things that I had disagreements with, and are now indicating that you can possibly help with those if I, in exchange, follow certain rules.

So what you are saying is that you want me to cut my comm line with Tony and Marie-Joe (if in fact I were in comm with them), then you will help me resolve the issues such as Danar & Ximena's bogus declares, their 150K+ bogus freeloader debts and Vicki's major case mishandling by Flag, AOL and CC.

Am I reading this right? At lunch I told you that what I want is the truth, no matter whether it is good or bad. And you know what LRH says about the truth.

Thanks,
Bert

From: Chris Finn
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 6:19 PM
To: 'Bert Schippers'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Bert,

Sorry on the delay, have an event Saturday and it is a busy race right now.

I will meet you for lunch again....this coming Wednesday, same place 12 noon and we can communicate till all Qs are answered for you from what I know as a fellow parishioner wanting to help you guys.

See you then....OK? You and me with or without Lynne too if she'd like to join us.

Chris Finn

From: Bert Schippers
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2010 11:02 PM
To: 'Chris Finn'
Subject: RE: *** Personal from Chris Finn

Hi Chris,

I've already got an appointment scheduled for that day, and I really don't see any need to do lunch again.

I think it's your turn to answer my question below *[above]* which you did not answer.

Bert

Then I don't hear from Chris for a week, until the 17th, when he leaves me a voicemail message:

"Hey Bert it's Chris, I haven't heard back from you, so I don't know if we're meeting for lunch or not. And um, I want to meet with you, and we are friends; come on! Let's just get together here and chat one more time it's

not a big deal. But it's important at the same time, um, you know we'll always be friends no matter how this thing folds out here you know we'll never forget the ARC we've had, um, just allow a little comm to go between us it's a good thing here. So anyway give me a call back would you, I want to meet you for lunch or a belated lunch or maybe meet you this afternoon; I can come by the office, that might even be better you and me and Lynne could go in the office and chat in there and that's great to me too. Just trying to make it easy and workable so we can have a comm cycle, an easy one, Ok, thanks, bye bye."

I don't respond. Then the text messages start, which I do respond to, here they are:

Chris: "Hi Bert, can you get back to me about lunch at 12:30 or 1 today? Should I stop by the office today instead? Let me know."

Bert: "Chris, No need to meet, I just need you to answer my question, as in my emails. Thanks, Bert."

Chris: "There is for me Bert. I want to show you a few things....Its my last comm to help...I WOULD WANT THIS FOR ME IF THE SHOE WERE ON THE OTHER FOOT. I will swing by shortly, we can chat in the conference room"

Bert: "I'm not at work currently and am not available today. Thanks for your concern, but I will keep my own counsel on these matters. I have all the data I need."

I did not hear from Chris again. He removed us from his and the Org's email lists (which frankly was a relief – some days he'd send out more than 10 emails regarding events and fundraising, etc.) We also stopped receiving calls and phone messages from the Org, which also was quite a relief! He did come to our house on July 23rd, 2010, the day before the grand opening. He obviously wanted to tell us we were not welcome, as some other people in our area were being told, but I did not bother answering the door.